2 Ct



33) 11/7/0

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KIM SMITH,

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

Plaintiff,

NOV 06 2001

Civil No. 1:01-0817

ARY E P'ANDREA, CL

v.

(Judge William W. Caldwell)

JAMES MORGAN, et al.,

(Magistrate Judge Malachy E. Mannion)

Defendants.

CORRECTIONS DEFENDANTS' WAIVER OF REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Corrections Defendants, by and through their attorney, John J. Talaber, Assistant Counsel for the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections, in accordance with the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. §1997(e), as amended by §803(d) of the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, hereby waive their right to reply to the Plaintiff's complaint in this action which is brought

The Corrections Defendants include: (1) Kathy Allen; (2) Nancy Ambrose; (3) Mary Bernas; (4) Sharon Burks; (5) Kandis Dascani; (6) John Dunn; (7) Harry Ersek; (8) Frank Gillis; (9) Robert Glenny; (10) Robert Gooler; (11) Dr. Robyn Johns; (12) Roy Johnson; (13) Tim Jordan; (14) Bernon Lane (15) John Learn; (16) Edward Mason; (17) Miller; (18) James Morgan; (19) Wilma Sewell; (20) Raymond Smith; (21) David Varano; (22) Voeckler; (23) George Weaver; (24) Lynn Wolfgang; (25) Gerald Whysong; (26) Pat Yarger; (27) Angela Zimmerman; (28) Hazel Zimmerman.

pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983. This waiver does not constitute an admission of any of the allegations contained in the Amended Complaint. Furthermore, the Corrections Defendants assert all available affirmative defenses to the Amended Complaint including, but not limited to, the following:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants acted at all times within the scope of their authority and without any actual or imputed knowledge that their actions violated any of the Plaintiff's constitutional rights; therefore, the Corrections Defendants are immune from suit.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants at all times were acting in reliance upon valid federal and state laws and regulations.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants assert each and every defense available to them under the existing Civil Rights Act.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any and all alleged damages or injuries sustained by Plaintiff resulted not from the conduct or acts of the Corrections Defendants, but from the conduct or acts of Plaintiff or other persons.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Whatever actions may have been taken by the Corrections Defendants that caused loss to the Plaintiff were justified.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants lacked personal or direct involvement in any of the alleged violations of Plaintiff's rights.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants are entitled to official immunity from Plaintiff's claims.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

At all times relevant hereto, any actions or inactions of the Corrections

Defendants were done within the scope of their authority, in good faith, and
without malice.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants are entitled to objective, good faith immunity from Plaintiff's claims and have not violated any rights and/or clearly established rights of Plaintiff.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Whatever actions or inactions committed by the Corrections Defendants that may have caused loss to the Plaintiff, (but are expressly denied), were justified.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff consented to any actions or inactions committed by the Corrections Defendants; therefore, Plaintiff's claims against the Corrections Defendants are barred.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any actions or inactions committed by the Corrections Defendants were done pursuant to duties required by statute, regulation or directive; therefore, the Corrections Defendants are immune from suit.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any actions or inactions committed by the Corrections Defendants were matters within the discretion granted to the Corrections Defendants by statute, regulation or directive; therefore, the Corrections Defendants are immune from suit.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Amended Complaint fails to state a claim or cause of action upon which relief may be granted.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Corrections Defendants affirmatively plead any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defense.

Respectfully submitted,

John J. Talaber

Assistant Counsel

Attorney Id. No. 83279

Pa. Department of Corrections 55 Utley Drive Camp Hill, PA 17011 (717) 731-0444

Dated: November 6, 2001

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KIM SMITH,

v.

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 1:01-0817

: (Judge William W. Caldwell)

JAMES MORGAN, et al., : (Magistrate Judge Malachy E. Mannion)

Defendants. :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the Corrections Defendants'
Waiver of Reply to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint in this matter was served upon
the person(s) in the manner indicated below.

Service by first-class mail addressed as follows:

Kim Smith, CT-2162 James D. Young, Esquire SCI-Coal Township Lavery, Faherty, Young and Patterson, P.C.

1 Kelley Drive 301 Market Street Coal Township, PA 17866-1020 P.O. Box 1245

Harrisburg, PA 17108-1245

Jennifer L. Schade Clerk Typist II

PA Department of Corrections 55 Utley Drive Camp Hill, PA 17011 (717) 731-0444

Dated: November 6, 2001